

1 Svend Brandt-Erichsen
2 NOSSAMAN LLP
3 719 Second Avenue, Suite 1200
4 Seattle, WA 98104
5 *pro hac vice*
6 WA Bar No. 23923
7 Telephone: 206.395.7632
8 sbrandterichsen@nossaman.com

9 Brian Imbornoni
10 NOSSAMAN LLP
11 Two North Central Avenue, Suite 1715
12 Phoenix, AZ 85701
13 AZ Bar No. 006894
14 Telephone: 480.790.5900
15 bimbornoni@nossaman.com

16 Hilary Tompkins
17 HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP
18 555 13th Street N.W.
19 Washington, D.C. 20004
20 *pro hac vice*
21 DC Bar No. 252895
22 Phone: (202) 664-7831
23 hilary.tompkins@hoganlovells.com

24 *Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendant SunZia Transmission, LLC*

25
26 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
27 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
28 TUCSON DIVISION

18 Tohono O'odham Nation; San Carlos
19 Apache Tribe; Archaeology Southwest;
20 and Center for Biological Diversity,

21 Plaintiffs,

22 vs.

23 U.S. Department of the Interior; Deb
24 Haaland, U.S. Secretary of Interior; and
25 U.S. Bureau of Land Management,

26 Defendants,

27 and

28 SunZia Transmission, LLC

Intervenor-Defendant.

Case No. 4:24-cv-00034 JGZ

**INTERVENOR-DEFENDANT
SUNZIA TRANSMISSION, LLC'S
ANSWER**

Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the District of Arizona, Intervenor-Defendant SunZia Transmission, LLC (“SunZia”), by and through its undersigned counsel, answers Plaintiffs’ Complaint (Dkt. 1) as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. The allegations in Paragraph 1 purport to characterize the Complaint and constitute conclusions of law, as to which no response is required. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia admits that Defendant Bureau of Land Management (“BLM”) issued Limited Notices to Proceed (“LNTP”) for SunZia’s Project on September 27, 2023, and November 27, 2023, but denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 1.

2. SunZia denies the allegations of Paragraph 2.

3. Paragraph 3 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the allegations of Paragraph 3.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. Paragraph 4 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required.

5. Paragraph 5 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required.

6. Responding to Paragraph 6, SunZia admits that the Project crosses the San Pedro Valley and that a portion of the Project is located in Pima County, Arizona. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 6 state conclusions of law as to which no response is required. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 6.

PARTIES

7. SunZia admits the first sentence of Paragraph 7. To the extent Paragraph 7 states conclusions of law, SunZia denies such conclusions, to the extent a further response is required. SunZia lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 7, and therefore denies the same.

8. SunZia admits the first and second sentences of Paragraph 8. SunZia lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 8, and therefore denies the same.

1 9. Paragraph 9 states a conclusion of law as to which no response is required.

10. SunZia admits the first sentence of Paragraph 10. SunZia lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 10, and therefore denies the same.

11. SunZia admits the first sentence of Paragraph 11. SunZia lacks knowledge
or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in
Paragraph 11, and therefore denies the same.

8 12. SunZia denies the allegations in Paragraph 12.

9 13. SunZia denies the allegations in Paragraph 13.

10 14. SunZia denies the allegations in Paragraph 14.

11 15. Paragraph 15 states conclusions of law to which no response is required.
12 Interior Secretary Bergum has been substituted for Secretary Haaland.

13 16. Paragraph 16 states conclusions of law to which no response is required.

14 17. The first sentence of Paragraph 17 states conclusions of law to which no
15 response is required. SunZia admits the second sentence of Paragraph 17. SunZia denies
16 the remaining allegations of Paragraph 17, to the extent they are not conclusions of law to
17 which no response is required.

18 STATUTORY BACKGROUND

19 18. Paragraph 18 purports to characterize a provision of the NHPA, which
20 speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and intent.

19. Paragraph 19 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required. To the extent Paragraph 19 purports to characterize the requirements of statutes and regulations, those statutes and regulations speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their plain meaning and content. Any allegation contrary to the plain language, meaning and context of the referenced statutes and regulations is denied. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the allegations of Paragraph 19.

27 20. Paragraph 20 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required.
28 To the extent Paragraph 20 purports to characterize the requirements of statutes and

1 regulations, those statutes and regulations speak for themselves and provide the best
2 evidence of their plain meaning and content. Any allegation contrary to the plain language,
3 meaning and context of the referenced statutes and regulations is denied. To the extent a
4 further response is required, SunZia denies the allegations of Paragraph 20.

5 21. Paragraph 21 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required.
6 To the extent Paragraph 21 purports to characterize the requirements of regulations, those
7 regulations speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their plain meaning and
8 content. Any allegation contrary to the plain language, meaning and context of the
9 referenced regulations is denied. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia
10 denies the allegations of Paragraph 20.

11 22. Paragraph 22 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required.
12 To the extent Paragraph 22 purports to characterize the requirements of statutes and
13 regulations, those statutes and regulations speak for themselves and provide the best
14 evidence of their plain meaning and content. Any allegation contrary to the plain language,
15 meaning and context of the referenced statutes and regulations is denied. To the extent a
16 further response is required, SunZia denies the allegations of Paragraph 22.

17 23. Paragraph 23 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required.
18 To the extent Paragraph 23 purports to characterize the requirements of regulations, those
19 regulations speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their plain meaning and
20 content. Any allegation contrary to the plain language, meaning and context of the
21 referenced regulations is denied. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia
22 denies the allegations of Paragraph 23.

23 24. Paragraph 24 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required.
24 To the extent Paragraph 24 purports to characterize the requirements of regulations, those
25 statutes and regulations speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their plain
26 meaning and content. Any allegation contrary to the plain language, meaning and context
27 of the referenced regulations is denied. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia
28 denies the allegations of Paragraph 24.

1 25. Paragraph 25 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required.
2 To the extent Paragraph 25 purports to characterize the requirements of regulations, those
3 regulations speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their plain meaning and
4 content. Any allegation contrary to the plain language, meaning and context of the
5 referenced regulations is denied. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia
6 denies the allegations of Paragraph 25.

7 26. Paragraph 26 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required.
8 To the extent Paragraph 26 purports to characterize the requirements of regulations and
9 guidance documents, those regulations and guidance documents speak for themselves and
10 provide the best evidence of their plain meaning and content. Any allegation contrary to
11 the plain language, meaning and context of the referenced regulations and guidance
12 documents is denied. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the
13 allegations of Paragraph 26.

14 27. Paragraph 27 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required.
15 To the extent Paragraph 27 purports to characterize the contents of guidance documents,
16 those guidance documents speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their
17 plain meaning and content. Any allegation contrary to the plain language, meaning and
18 context of the referenced guidance documents is denied. To the extent a further response
19 is required, SunZia denies the allegations of Paragraph 27.

20 28. Paragraph 28 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required.
21 To the extent Paragraph 28 purports to characterize the requirements of regulations, those
22 regulations speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their plain meaning and
23 content. Any allegation contrary to the plain language, meaning and context of the
24 referenced regulations is denied. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia
25 denies the allegations of Paragraph 28.

26 29. Paragraph 29 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required.
27 To the extent Paragraph 29 purports to characterize the requirements of regulations, those
28 regulations speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their plain meaning and

1 content. Any allegation contrary to the plain language, meaning and context of the
2 referenced regulations is denied. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia
3 denies the allegations of Paragraph 29.

4 30. Paragraph 30 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required.
5 To the extent Paragraph 30 purports to characterize the requirements of regulations, those
6 regulations speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their plain meaning and
7 content. Any allegation contrary to the plain language, meaning and context of the
8 referenced regulations is denied. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia
9 denies the allegations of Paragraph 30.

10 31. Paragraph 31 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required.
11 To the extent Paragraph 31 purports to characterize the requirements of regulations, those
12 regulations speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their plain meaning and
13 content. Any allegation contrary to the plain language, meaning and context of the
14 referenced regulations is denied. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia
15 denies the allegations of Paragraph 31.

16 32. Paragraph 32 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required.
17 To the extent Paragraph 32 purports to characterize the requirements of regulations, those
18 regulations speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their plain meaning and
19 content. Any allegation contrary to the plain language, meaning and context of the
20 referenced regulations is denied. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia
21 denies the allegations of Paragraph 32.

22 33. Paragraph 33 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required.
23 To the extent Paragraph 33 purports to characterize the requirements of regulations, those
24 regulations speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their plain meaning and
25 content. Any allegation contrary to the plain language, meaning and context of the
26 referenced regulations is denied. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia
27 denies the allegations of Paragraph 33.

28 34. Paragraph 34 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required.

1 To the extent Paragraph 34 purports to characterize the requirements of regulations, those
2 regulations speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their plain meaning and
3 content. Any allegation contrary to the plain language, meaning and context of the
4 referenced regulations is denied. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia
5 denies the allegations of Paragraph 34.

6 35. Paragraph 34 purports to quote Executive Order 13007, which speaks for
7 itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and content. To the extent the
8 allegations of Paragraph 34 vary from the plain meaning of Executive Order 13007,
9 SunZia denies such allegations.

10 36. Paragraph 36 purports to characterize Executive Order 13175, which speaks
11 for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and content. To the extent
12 the allegations of Paragraph 36 vary from the plain meaning of Executive Order 13175,
13 SunZia denies such allegations.

14 37. Paragraph 37 purports to characterize Joint Secretarial Order 3403, which
15 speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and content. To the
16 extent the allegations of Paragraph 37 vary from the plain meaning of Joint Secretarial
17 Order 3403, SunZia denies such allegations.

18 38. The paragraph numbering in Plaintiffs' Complaint skips from Paragraph 37
19 to Paragraph 42 and does not contain paragraphs numbered 38-41. To avoid confusion,
20 SunZia's Answer also will skip numbers so that its paragraphs will match the numbering
21 of the Complaint.

22 42. Paragraph 42 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required.
23 To the extent Paragraph 42 purports to characterize statutes and court decisions, those
24 statutes and decisions speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their plain
25 meaning and content. Any allegation contrary to the plain language, meaning and context
26 of the referenced statutes and court decisions is denied. To the extent a further response is
27 required, SunZia denies the allegations of Paragraph 42.

28 43. Paragraph 43 states conclusions of law as to which no response is required.

1 To the extent Paragraph 43 purports to characterize statutes and court decisions, those
2 statutes and court decisions speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their
3 plain meaning and content. Any allegation contrary to the plain language, meaning and
4 context of the referenced statutes and court decisions is denied. To the extent a further
5 response is required, SunZia denies the allegations of Paragraph 43.

6 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

7 44. Responding to Paragraph 44, SunZia admits that members of the Tohono
8 O’odham, Hopi, Zuni, and Western Apache tribes claim ancestral connections to the San
9 Pedro River Valley. Paragraph 44 purports to quote from a U.S. Forest Service (“USFS”)
10 document, which speaks for itself and is the best evidence of its plain meaning and content.
11 To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations of
12 Paragraph 44.

13 45. Paragraph 45 purports to quote from a USFS document, which speaks for
14 itself and is the best evidence of its plain meaning and content. To the extent a further
15 response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 45.

16 46. SunZia lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
17 truth of the allegations of Paragraph 46, and therefore denies the same.

18 47. SunZia lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
19 truth of the allegations of the first two sentences of Paragraph 47 and therefore denies the
20 same. Paragraph 47 purports to quote from National Register Bulletin No. 38, which
21 speaks for itself and is the best evidence of its plain meaning and content. The remaining
22 allegations of Paragraph 47 are a legal conclusion for which no response is required. To
23 the extent a further response is deemed required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations
24 of Paragraph 47.

25 48. Paragraph 48 purports to characterize and quote from a U.S. Geological
26 Survey (“USGS”) document, which speaks for itself and is the best evidence of its plain
27 meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the
28 remaining allegations of Paragraph 48.

1 49. SunZia admits the allegation of Paragraph 49.

2 50. Paragraph 50 purports to characterize and quote from a BLM Manual, which
3 speaks for itself and is the best evidence of its plain meaning and content.

4 51. SunZia admits the first sentence of Paragraph 51. The second sentence of
5 Paragraph 51 purports to characterize and quote a BLM document for the Project, which
6 speaks for itself and is the best evidence of its plain meaning and content.

7 52. Paragraph 52 purports to characterize and quote from a BLM document for
8 the Project, which speaks for itself and is the best evidence of its plain meaning and
9 content.

10 53. Paragraph 53 purports to characterize and quote from a BLM document for
11 the Project, which speaks for itself and is the best evidence of its plain meaning and
12 content.

13 54. The allegations of Paragraph 54 are legal conclusions for which no response
14 is required. To the extent a further response is deemed required, SunZia denies the
15 allegations of Paragraph 54.

16 55. SunZia admits the allegations of Paragraph 55.

17 56. SunZia denies the allegations of the first two sentences of Paragraph 56.
18 The remainder of Paragraph 56 purports to quote from and characterize the content of a
19 document, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and
20 content. SunZia denies that the referenced document identifies or refers to a San Pedro
21 Valley cultural landscape. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the
22 allegations of Paragraph 56.

23 57. Paragraph 57 purports to quote from and characterize the content of a
24 document, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and
25 content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the allegations of
26 Paragraph 57.

27 58. Paragraph 58 purports to quote from and characterize the content of a
28 document, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and

1 content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the allegations of
2 Paragraph 58.

3 59. Paragraph 59 purports to quote from and characterize the content of a
4 document, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and
5 content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the allegations of
6 Paragraph 59.

7 60. Paragraph 60 purports to quote from and characterize the content of a
8 document, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and
9 content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the allegations of
10 Paragraph 60.

11 61. Paragraph 61 purports to quote from and characterize the content of a BLM
12 document, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and
13 content. SunZia denies that BLM “assured the groups” about the timing of the Section 106
14 consultation. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the remaining
15 allegations of Paragraph 61.

16 62. Paragraph 62 purports to quote from and characterize the content of a
17 document, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and
18 content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the allegations of
19 Paragraph 62.

20 63. Paragraph 63 purports to quote from and characterize the content of a BLM
21 communication, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain
22 meaning and content. SunZia denies the allegation that BLM “reversed course” regarding
23 the Section 106 consultation process. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia
24 denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 63.

25 64. SunZia admits Paragraph 64.

26 65. SunZia admits that Archaeology Southwest submitted comments on the
27 Draft EIS but denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 65.

28 66. SunZia admits that the San Carlos Apache Tribe submitted comments on the

1 Draft EIS but denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 66.

2 67. Paragraph 67 purports to quote from and characterize the content of
3 comments from the San Carlos Apache Tribe on the Draft EIS, which speak for themselves
4 and provide the best evidence of their plain meaning and content. To the extent a further
5 response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 67.

6 68. Paragraph 68 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of
7 comments from the U.S. National Park Service (“NPS”) on the Draft EIS, which speak
8 for themselves and provide the best evidence of their plain meaning and content. To the
9 extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph
10 68.

11 69. SunZia admits that BLM issued its Final EIS in June 2013. Paragraph 69
12 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of the Final EIS, which speaks for
13 itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and content. To the extent a
14 further response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 69.

15 70. SunZia denies the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 70. The
16 remainder of Paragraph 70 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of the
17 Final EIS, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and
18 content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the remaining
19 allegations of Paragraph 70.

20 71. Responding to Paragraph 71, SunZia admits that in 2013 Center for
21 Biological Diversity (“CBD”) submitted a protest to BLM, and that BLM denied all
22 protests submitted on the Project. SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph
23 71.

24 72. Responding to Paragraph 72, SunZia admits that in December 2014, BLM
25 executed a Programmatic Agreement pursuant to the NHPA and its implementing
26 regulations with the New Mexico and Arizona State Historic Preservation Offices
27 (“SHPO”) and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (“ACHP”). SunZia further
28 Admits that SunZia signed the Programmatic Agreement as an Invited Signatory, that the

1 Tohono O'odham National declined the invitation to by an Invited Signatory, and that the
2 San Carlos Apache Tribe and Archaeology Southwest both declined to sign as Concurring
3 Parties. SunZia further admits that the Tohono O'odham Nation and the San Carlos
4 Apache Tribe participated in the Section 106 consultation process that produced the
5 Programmatic Agreement. SunZia denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 72.

6 73. Paragraph 73 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of the
7 Programmatic Agreement, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its
8 plain meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the
9 remaining allegations of Paragraph 73.

10 74. Paragraph 74 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of the
11 Programmatic Agreement, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its
12 plain meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the
13 remaining allegations of Paragraph 74.

14 75. Paragraph 75 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of the
15 Programmatic Agreement, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its
16 plain meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the
17 remaining allegations of Paragraph 75.

18 76. Paragraph 76 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of the
19 Programmatic Agreement, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its
20 plain meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the
21 remaining allegations of Paragraph 76.

22 77. Paragraph 77 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of the
23 Programmatic Agreement, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its
24 plain meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the
25 remaining allegations in Paragraph 77.

26 78. Paragraph 78 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of the
27 Programmatic Agreement, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its
28 plain meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the

1 remaining allegations of Paragraph 78.

2 79. Paragraph 79 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of the
3 Programmatic Agreement, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its
4 plain meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the
5 remaining allegations in Paragraph 79.

6 80. Responding to Paragraph 80, SunZia admits that in January 2015 BLM
7 issued a Record of Decision (“ROD”) approving the proposed right-of-way for the Project
8 transmission line, and that in 2016 BLM issued a right-of-way grant to SunZia. SunZia
9 denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 80.

10 81. Paragraph 81 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of the
11 2015 ROD, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning
12 and content.

13 82. Responding to Paragraph 82, SunZia admits that draft Class III Intensive
14 Field Inventories were provided to the Plaintiff Tribes and Archaeology Southwest for
15 their comments and that they did not provide BLM with comments requesting that a San
16 Pedro Valley traditional cultural property be added to the Class III Inventories. To the
17 extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph
18 82.

19 83. Paragraph 83 purports to characterize the contents of SunZia’s applications
20 to BLM, which speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their plain meaning
21 and content.

22 84. SunZia admits the first sentence of Paragraph 84 and admits that
23 Archaeology Southwest and Center for Biological Diversity submitted comments to BLM
24 in response to the June 2021 scoping notice. Paragraph 84 purports to quote from and
25 characterize the contents of those comments, which speak for themselves and provide the
26 best evidence of their plain meaning and content. To the extent a further response is
27 required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 84.

28 85. SunZia admits the first sentence of Paragraph 85. The remainder of

1 Paragraph 85 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of the Draft EIS, which
2 speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and content.

3 86. Paragraph 86 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of the
4 Draft EIS, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and
5 content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the remaining
6 allegations in Paragraph 86.

7 87. Paragraph 87 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of the
8 Draft EIS, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and
9 content. SunZia denies that the access roads and temporary work areas evaluated in the
10 Draft EIS would impact or have impacted any cultural resources in the San Pedro Valley
11 and denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 87.

12 88. Paragraph 88 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of
13 comments on the Draft EIS, which speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of
14 their plain meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia
15 denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 88.

16 89. Responding to Paragraph 89, SunZia denies that Archaeology Southwest's
17 January 2023 letter was a comment on the Draft EIS or a timely comment for any
18 administrative process related to the Project. Paragraph 89 purports to quote from and
19 characterize the contents of Archaeology Southwest's letter, which speaks for itself and
20 provides the best evidence of their plain meaning and content. SunZia denies the
21 remaining allegations in Paragraph 89.

22 90. SunZia admits the first sentence of Paragraph 90. Paragraph 90 purports to
23 quote from and characterize the contents of the Final EIS, which speaks for itself and
24 provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and content. To the extent a further
25 response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 90.

26 91. Paragraph 91 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of the
27 response to comments on the Draft EIS contained within the Final EIS, which speaks for
28 itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and content. To the extent a

1 further response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 91.

2 92. Paragraph 92 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of
3 communication between the Arizona SHPO and the BLM, which speaks for itself and
4 provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and content. To the extent a further
5 response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 92.

6 93. Paragraph 93 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of
7 communication between the Arizona SHPO and the BLM, which speaks for itself and
8 provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and content. To the extent a further
9 response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 93.

10 94. Paragraph 94 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of
11 communication from the San Carlos Apache Tribe to BLM, which speaks for itself and
12 provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and content. To the extent a further
13 response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 94.

14 95. Paragraph 95 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of
15 communication from the Tohono O’odham Nation to BLM, which speaks for itself and
16 provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and content. To the extent a further
17 response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 95.

18 96. SunZia admits the first and last sentences of Paragraph 96. Paragraph 96
19 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of Archaeology Southwest’s protest,
20 which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and content.
21 To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations in
22 Paragraph 96.

23 97. Paragraph 97 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of a
24 document, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and
25 content. SunZia denies the allegations of Plaintiffs’ characterization of the document and,
26 to the extent a further response is required, denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph
27 97.

28 98. Responding to Paragraph 98, SunZia admits that in July 2023, BLM

transmitted a proposed Historic Properties Treatment Plan (“HPTP”) to consulting parties in Arizona. Paragraph 98 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of documents and communications, which speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their plain meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 98.

99. Responding to Paragraph 99, SunZia admits that in August 2023, BLM issued a final HPTP for historic properties in Arizona. Paragraph 99 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of the finalized HPTP, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 99.

100. Paragraph 100 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of BLM communications, which speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their plain meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 100.

101. Paragraph 101 purports to quote from and characterize contents of comments by the Arizona SHPO, which speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their plain meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 101.

102. Paragraph 102 purports to quote from and characterize the contents of comments from the Arizona SHPO, which speak for themselves and provide the best evidence of their plain meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 102.

103. SunZia admits the first sentence of Paragraph 103. Paragraph 103 purports to quote from and characterize the notice of dispute, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and content. SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 103.

104. SunZia admits the first three sentences of Paragraph 104. SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 104.

1 105. Paragraph 105 purports to quote from and characterize BLM's response,
2 which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and content.
3 To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations in
4 Paragraph 105.

5 106. Paragraph 106 purports to quote from and characterize BLM
6 communication, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain
7 meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the
8 remaining allegations in Paragraph 106.

9 107. Responding to Paragraph 107, SunZia admits that Archaeology Southwest
10 sent an email to BLM on October 5, 2023. Paragraph 107 purports to quote from and
11 characterize the content of the email, which speaks for itself and provides the best
12 evidence of its plain meaning and content. SunZia denies the allegations in Paragraph 107.

13 108. Paragraph 108 purports to quote from and characterize the Archaeology
14 Southwest October 5, 2023, email, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence
15 of its plain meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia
16 denies the allegations in Paragraph 108.

17 109. Paragraph 109 purports to quote from and characterize the Archaeology
18 Southwest October 5, 2023, email, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence
19 of its plain meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia
20 denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 109.

21 110. Paragraph 110 purports to quote from and characterize the Archaeology
22 Southwest October 5, 2023, email, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence
23 of its plain meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia
24 denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 110.

25 111. Paragraph 111 purports to quote from and characterize communication from
26 the ACHP to BLM, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain
27 meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the
28 remaining allegations in Paragraph 111.

1 112. Paragraph 112 purports to quote from and characterize communication from
2 the ACHP to BLM, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain
3 meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the
4 remaining allegations in Paragraph 112.

5 113. SunZia admits the first sentence of Paragraph 113. Paragraph 113 purports
6 to quote from and characterize communication from the Pueblo of Zuni to BLM, which
7 speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and content. To the
8 extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph
9 113.

10 114. Responding to Paragraph 114, SunZia admits that on September 26, 2023,
11 BLM issued a Limited Notice to Proceed (“LNTP”) for Project construction in the San
12 Pedro Valley and the LNTP speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain
13 meaning and content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the
14 remaining allegations in Paragraph 114.

15 115. SunZia admits that after issuance of the September 26, 2023, LNTP, SunZia
16 began construction of the Project in the San Pedro Valley. SunZia denies the remaining
17 allegations in Paragraph 115.

18 116. Paragraph 116 purports to quote from and characterize communication from
19 the Tohono O’odham Nation, the San Carlos Apache Tribe, and Archaeology Southwest
20 to the Secretary of the Interior, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of
21 its plain meaning and content. SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 116.

22 117. Paragraph 117 purports to quote from and characterize BLM
23 communication, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain
24 meaning and content.

25 118. SunZia admits the first sentence of Paragraph 118. Paragraph 118 purports
26 to quote from and characterize communication from the Hopi Tribe to BLM, which speaks
27 for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and content. To the extent a
28 further response is required, SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 118.

1 119. SunZia admits the first sentence of Paragraph 119. SunZia lacks knowledge
2 or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations of
3 Paragraph 119, and therefore denies the same.

4 120. Paragraph 120 purports to quote from and characterize communication from
5 BLM, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and
6 content.

7 121. The first two sentences of Paragraph 121 purport to quote from and
8 characterize communication from BLM, which speaks for itself and provides the best
9 evidence of its plain meaning and content. SunZia denies the remaining allegations of
10 Paragraph 121.

11 122. The first three sentences of Paragraph 122 purport to quote from and
12 characterize communication from BLM, which speaks for itself and provides the best
13 evidence of its plain meaning and content. SunZia denies the remaining allegations in
14 Paragraph 122.

15 123. Paragraph 123 purports to quote from and characterize communication from
16 BLM, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and
17 content. To the extent a further response is required, SunZia denies the remaining
18 allegations in Paragraph 123.

19 124. Paragraph 124 purports to quote from and characterize communication from
20 BLM, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and
21 content. SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 124.

22 125. Paragraph 125 purports to quote from and characterize communication from
23 BLM, which speaks for itself and provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and
24 content. SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 125.

25 126. SunZia admits the first sentence of Paragraph 126. Paragraph 126 purports
26 to quote from and characterize the “Second Amended LNTP,” which speaks for itself and
27 provides the best evidence of its plain meaning and content. SunZia denies the remaining
28 allegations in Paragraph 126.

127. SunZia admits that it resumed Project construction in the San Pedro Valley following issuance of the November 27, 2023 LNTP. SunZia denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 127.

128. SunZia denies the allegations of Paragraph 128.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

129. SunZia restates and incorporates by reference its responses to all preceding paragraphs.

130. Paragraph 130 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a further response is deemed required, SunZia denies the allegations of Paragraph 130.

131. Paragraph 131 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a further response is deemed required, SunZia denies the allegations of Paragraph 131.

132. Paragraph 132 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a further response is deemed required, SunZia denies the allegations of Paragraph 132.

133. Paragraph 133 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a further response is deemed required, SunZia denies the allegations of Paragraph 133.

134. Paragraph 134 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a further response is deemed required, SunZia denies the allegations of Paragraph 134.

135. Paragraph 135 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a further response is deemed required, SunZia denies the allegations of Paragraph 135.

136. Paragraph 136 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a further response is deemed required, SunZia denies the allegations of Paragraph 136.

137. Paragraph 137 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a further response is deemed required, SunZia denies the allegations of Paragraph 137.

138. Paragraph 138 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a further response is deemed required, SunZia denies the allegations of Paragraph 138.

PRAAYER FOR RELIEF

The remaining paragraphs of Plaintiff's Complaint, numbered 1 through 7, contain Plaintiffs' request for relief to which no response is required. To the extent that a further response is deemed required, SunZia denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief sought or to any other form of relief.

GENERAL DENIAL

SunZia denies each and every allegation not specifically admitted in its Answer to the Plaintiffs' Complaint, set forth above. To the extent any allegations remain unanswered, SunZia denies such allegations.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

A. Plaintiffs' Complaint and its individual Claims for Relief fail to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

B. Plaintiffs' Complaint and its individual Claims for Relief fail for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

C. During the course of this litigation, Plaintiffs have abandoned all challenges to BLM's 2015 decision authorizing a right-of-way across federal lands for the Project and approving the Project's route across private and State lands, and accordingly, have abandoned all claims seeking to challenge the BLM's approval of the Project.

D. In accordance with the Ninth Circuit’s decision in this litigation and the Rule of Mandate, the Court does not have jurisdiction to hear any claim from the Plaintiffs that seeks to challenge BLM’s Record of Decision in 2015 that approved the Project.

E. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by estoppel, laches, res

1 judicata, the statute of limitations, and waiver.

2 F. SunZia reserves the right to supplement these affirmative defenses.

3 **REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

4 Wherefore, SunZia respectfully requests that the Court:

5 1. Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint with prejudice.

6 2. Deny all relief and costs requested by Plaintiffs.

7 3. Grant SunZia's costs and reasonable attorneys' fees herein.

8 4. Grant SunZia such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and
9 proper.

10
11 Dated this 24th day of September, 2025.

12
13 By: /s/ Svend Brandt-Erichsen.

14 Svend Brandt-Erichsen

15 *pro hac vice*

16 NOSSAMAN LLP

17 719 Second Avenue, Suite 1200

18 Seattle, WA 98104

19 Telephone: 206.395.7630

20 Facsimile: 206.257.0780

21 sbrandterichsen@nossaman.com

22 Brian Imbornoni

23 AZ Bar No. 006894

24 NOSSAMAN LLP

25 Two North Central Avenue, Suite 1715

26 Phoenix, AZ 85004

27 AZ Bar No. 006894

28 Telephone: 480.790.5900

 bimbornoni@nossaman.com

 Hilary Tompkins

 HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP

 555 13th Street N.W.

 Washington, D.C. 20004

pro hac vice

 DC Bar No. 252895

 Phone: (202) 664-7831

 hilary.tompkins@hoganlovells.com

*Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendant SunZia
Transmission, LLC*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 24, 2025, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to all ECF registrants.

/s/ Svend Brandt-Erichsen
Svend Brandt-Erichsen